

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 1 1 MAY 2004

~	=		
VI	$D \cap$		UI.
	$ \cup$		

					WIPO FOI		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 2002/M209			FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No.		ation No.	International filing date (daylmor	• •	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/EP 03/03676		76	09.04.2003	ļ	24.04.2002		
Internationa A01N25/		nt Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification and IPC	-			
Applicant				-			
	CROP	SCIENCE S.A. et al.			•		
1. This Auth	intern nority a	ational preliminary exar and is transmitted to the	mination report has been preparage in applicant according to Article	ared by this Intern 36.	national Preliminary Examining		
2. This	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
⊠	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
The	•	nexes consist of a total					
1116	SE aili	iekes consist of a total t	01 E 0110010.				
			<u> </u>				
3. This	s repor	t contains indications re	elating to the following items:				
1 1	Ø	Basis of the opinion					
111		Priority		novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
[]				inventive step at	nd industrial applicability		
IV		Lack of unity of invent			centive etce or industrial applicability		
V	⊠ —	citations and explana	tions supporting such statemen	ard to novelly, inv nt	ventive step or industrial applicability;		
VI		Certain documents ci					
VII			international application				
VIII		Certain observations	on the international application	1	•		
<u></u>							
Date of submission of the demand			Date	of completion of th	ls report		
05 10 0002		06.0	05.2004				
25.10.2003			06.0	JJ.ZUU4			
Name and	i mallin	g address of the Internatio	nal Auth	orized Officer	nus Paleon.		
preliminar	y exam	lining authority:			John Mil		
	D -	ropean Patent Office 80298 Munich		nano-Götsch, F			
9		ol. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523 ox: +49 89 2399 - 4465		phone No. +49 89 2	2399-8874		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/03676

I. Ba	asis	of	the	repor	t
-------	------	----	-----	-------	---

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages						
	1-29		as originally filed					
	Clai	ms, Numbers						
	1-9		filed with telefax on 13.0	04.2004				
2. With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under					I to this Authority in ler this item.	the		
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Autho	rity in the follo	wing language:	, which is:		
		the language of a tra	nslation furnished for the purpo	ses of the inte	rnational search ((under Rule 23.1(b))).	
		the language of publi	cation of the international applic	of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
			nslation furnished for the purpo			examination (under	•	
3.	3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
		contained in the inte	mational application in written fo	orm.				
		filed together with the	e international application in cor	nputer readab	ole form.	•		
] furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
			ntly to this Authority in computer					
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disc in the international application as filed has been furnished.							
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.						
4	. The	e amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:		•			
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					
5	. 🗆	This report has been been considered to	n established as if (some of) the go beyond the disclosure as file	e amendments ed (Rule 70.2(s had not been ma c)).	ade, since they have	•	
		(Any replacement s	heet containing such amendme	nts must be re	eferred to under it	em 1 and annexed t	to thi	
6	. Ad	ditional observations,	if necessary:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/03676

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims Claims

No:

No:

1-9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-4 (yes), 6-9 (no opinion)

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The following documents cited in the ISR are referred to:

D1: DE-A-19749683 (also cited in the application)

D2: LLOYD AND DREW: 'Modification and Testing of Brewery Waste Yeast as a protein source for fruit fly bait' MANAGEMENT OF FRUIT FLIES IN THE PACIFIC

- ACIAR PROCEEDINGS, vol. 76, 1997, pages 192-198, XP001153059

Document D1 discloses a composition for luring and controlling arthropods comprising specific silicic acid and silicates (see p.2, lines 63-70) in combination with an attractant. The examples in D1 (Tabelle 1 p.4) describe baits comprising silicic acid "Aerosil 200 Hydrophil" in combination with protein, yeast and cereals. D1 does not disclose protein autolysate.

Document D2 discloses spray protein baits comprising protein autolysate derived from Saccharomices.

None of the prior art document discloses protein baits comprising one or more active compounds active against animal pests and silicilic acids and protein autolysate. Thus, the subject-matter of claims 1-9 is regarded as novel over D1-D2 (Art.33(2) PCT).

D2 is considered as the closest prior art.

The problem to be solved by the application is regarded as to provide a more effective protein bait over D2.

The solution proposed in the application consists in modifying the bait disclosed in D2 by adding the specific silicilic acid/silicate of D1 and one or more active compounds active against animal pests to the protein autolysate described in D2.

The protein baits are known in the art, and work on the principle that immature insect females need a protein meal for developing mature eggs. Thus, the bait spray technique relies on its attractant properties.

D2 teaches the advantages of baits based on protein autolysates instead of protein hydrolysate, which cause burning of foliage and are thus phytotoxic.

D1 teaches the advantages of using silicilic acid and silicates over classical pesticides, and describes them as less toxic, environmental friendly (p.2, lines 1-10 and lines 27-42). D1 describes using them in combination with pest-attractants (see p.2, lines 63-70).

According to D1, silicilic acid /silicates provide effective pesticidal activity while being nontoxic, easy to handle and environmentally friendly, thus offering a valid alternative to classical insecticides (p.2, lines 1-10 and lines 27-42).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP03/03676 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

It follows that the composition of claims 1-5 and its use as claimed in claims 6-9 is not suggested in D2 alone or in combination with D1 (Art.33(3)PCT).

On pp.19 (last paragraph)-20 (first paragraph), the use of the claimed composition in the field of veterinary medicine is described.

It follows that claims 6-9 in view of pp.19-20 are regarded as directed to the rapeutic application, i.e. a method of treatment of the animal body.

For the assessment of the presently worded claims 6-9 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not regard as industrially applicable claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, however will allow claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

For the sake of completeness, the Applicant attention is directed to the following objections:

- The expression 'incorporated herein by reference' on pp. 20 should be deleted since the document it refers to is already claimed as priority document of the present application.
- To meet the requirements of Rule 5(1)(a)ii. PCT, the document D2 should be identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.